

ADVISORY NEIGHBORHOOD COMMISSION 1B

Government of the District of Columbia

Columbia Heights / LeDroit Park / Shaw / University Heights

4 November 2004, True Reformer Building, 1200 U Street NW

Attending: Commissioners Guyot, Spalding, Hammonds, Thomas, Butler, Wilson, Skinner, Esters, Wright

Absent: Commissioner Houston

Quorum was achieved at 7:15PM. In the Secretary's Report Commissioner Spalding reported on activities in the past month on which the Commission had acted. The Mayor's Agent has ordered the windows at 1425 W Street to be replaced. ABRA denied the application of Arena Liquor due to the proximity of a school. HPRB sent the designs for 1900 11th Street back to OHP citing inadequate preparation, and will place them on the December calendar. HPRB voted to accept the nomination of Gage School for landmark status, and asked for some refinements in the designs for adaptive reuse. The new memorial plaque honoring Officer Morales was dedicated and placed next to the entrance to the Metro at 13th and U. Minutes for both the Special Meeting on October 27th and the regularly scheduled October meeting were moved, seconded and adopted unanimously (9-0-0). Secretary Spalding announced the preliminary election results for the 2005-2006 ANC1B Commission, and cautioned that the results will not be certified until November 17th. The Commissioners elect are: 1B01 Myla Moss, 1B02 Philip Spalding, 1B03 Catherine Hammonds, 1B04 Deairich Hunter, 1B05 Mike Smith, 1B06 Tim Stephens, 1B07 is an undecided write in candidate, 1B08 Rosemary Akinmboni, 1B09 Thomas K. D. Smith, 1B10 Nate Mathews, 1B11 Raymond Wright. In the Treasurer's Report Commissioner Esters reported that no checks had cleared during the past month, however, there are three outstanding checks including a long outstanding check from HUCA, a check for Secretarial expenses, and a check for Treasurer's expenses, which leaves our ending checkbook balance as of October 31st is \$71,429.53. Treasurer also indicated that he had changed the form of the report to reflect a bank reconciliation style which will make the quarterly easier to prepare. Treasurer's Report was moved, seconded and adopted unanimously (9-0-0). Treasurer moved secretarial expenses for October of \$161.28, and it was seconded and adopted unanimously (9-0-0). Treasurer moved payment of rental on ANC1B's post office box in the amount of \$410.00, and it was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

In Community announcements Commissioner Spalding reported that the next public meeting of the DDOT local Transportation and Parking Study would be on Wednesday, November 10th at 6PM at the Housing Finance Agency at 815 Florida Ave. Presentation by the Parks and Recreation Dept. tabled at our September meeting was again tabled with no spokesperson present.

Commissioner Spalding introduced review of an updated design for the building located at 901 U Street. The history of this project and ANC1B's comments were detailed, and the developer asked for a delay in presenting due to the absence of his architect. Developer stated that they have their permissions and permitting for the three story renovation, but have decided to expand to the matter of right height and add an additional story. Commissioner Spalding cautioned developer that he would need to get approval from HPRB, and developer indicated that he was aware that he would have to go back to HPRB.

Commissioner Guyot addressed agenda item "Parcel 34" as our reexamination of who should be the designated developer for Parcel 34. At the October 27th Special Meeting Commissioner Guyot's motion supporting the City View team failed on a 3-3-0 vote, and Commissioner Esters offered a motion to vote on this at this meeting. Commissioner Spalding asked if we needed a motion to reconsider, and Commissioner Guyot responded that no the original motion had failed, but Commissioner Esters motion was to vote at this meeting. Commissioner Wilson requested that the development teams present their proposals again since she was unable to attend the previous meeting. Chairperson Thomas stated that she would recuse herself from the vote. She feels that all of the developers qualify and are worthy for this project, and she has done business with all of the teams and currently is in a working relationship with one of the developers. Commissioner Guyot reviewed ANC1B's history with the RLARC/NCRC parcels in Ward 1, and specifically to a meeting in which RLARC/NCRC adopted positions in line with those recommended by ANC1B. Commissioner Guyot restated NCRC's awareness of his relationship with the Columbia Heights Development Corporation (CHDC), and the lack of concern over any conflict of interest presented. ANC1B's former Chairman Glen Melcher dealt with this issue when the issue was raised by Calvin Woodland. Commissioner Melcher stated that according to DC law when there is a potential conflict of interest, and the person who personifies that is committed to dealing on the merits of the presentations, then there is no conflict of interest. Commissioner Guyot congratulated the present Secretary on providing full descriptions of the dialogue on this issue. Commissioner Guyot stated that in the RFP for Parcel 34 NCRC specifically calls for a community based partner who has existed for five years in Columbia Heights, and the only one that has been mentioned is CHDC. Commissioner Butler stated that he found the motion supporting one developer at the Special Meeting to be incorrect, and that rating the teams would be more acceptable to the other Commissioners. Commissioner Butler stated that it was Commissioner Guyot's decision to proffer just one name in his

motion, which did not allow the other Commissioners to rate each of the developers. Commissioner Guyot stated that he had dealt with the issue of grading at the Special Meeting, and Commissioner Butler responded that that went precisely to the point of his argument in that Commissioner Guyot was limiting the choices available to his fellow Commissioners. Commissioner Guyot stated that he had taken into consideration the evaluation tool, and he graded the four applicants and cannot be held responsible if the other Commissioners did not grade the applications. Chairperson Thomas added that some of the Commissioners present at this meeting have not had a chance to hear or review the four presentations. Commissioner Wilson asked if we would be voting on this tonight, and the Chair responded that we would be voting. Commissioner Spalding cautioned that he had received calls about tonight's meeting from some of the teams, and that he had advised them that he did not expect further presentations to be called for at the meeting, which advice may have placed some of the teams at a disadvantage. Commissioner Guyot agreed that full presentations had already been made, and the Secretary has done an admirable job in turning those into minutes available tonight. Commissioner Guyot requested that the Commission proceed to selecting the designated developer of Parcel 34. I made a motion based on my opinion after listening to the presentations that the clearest one and the only one that got tens and twenties was the CHDC partnership with City View. Commissioner Guyot moved that ANC1B, based on its history of being involved in the selection process, designate City View as the designated developer of Parcel 34, and it was seconded and failed on a vote of (3-5-1). Chairperson Thomas asked if any other Commissioners would like to propose any other developers, and Commissioner Spalding stated that he was still not sure that this was the direction to go, however, he moved that ANC1B support the PN Hoffman proposal, and it was seconded and adopted on a (5-3-1) vote. Commissioner Skinner asked if we could consider a vote on other developers, and Chairperson Thomas responded that it was her understanding that there would be a short list decision by NCRC and that she was unclear why we were selecting just one proposal at this time. Commissioner Guyot responded that we have done so twenty-seven times previously, and Chairperson Thomas responded that in correspondence from NCRC that they would be seeking community input in January subsequent to the short list decision. Commissioner Skinner moved that ANC1B support the Jair Lynch proposal, and the motion failed to get a second. Commissioner Spalding asked the Commission to speak to the need for a designated representative, and since NCRC is not planning on involving community input until January will a letter of support to NCRC be sufficient at this time? Commissioner Guyot responded that even though he is opposed to the choice made, he felt it was necessary to have a designated representative, and proceeded to move that Commissioner Spalding be designated to represent ANC1B in this matter before NCRC. Commissioner Spalding suggested a friendly amendment to include the Commissioner elect from SMD 1B05, since it will be appropriate for the smd representative to carry this responsibility forward in January. Commissioner Guyot disagreed stating that Commissioner Spalding has more knowledge of this action and should be the designated representative, and Commissioner Esters asked why this decision could not be postponed until January when NCRC was seeking input. Commissioner Guyot called the previous question, and Commissioner Spalding withdrew the request and stated that he would work with the Commissioner elect outside of the designation, and motion was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced consideration of the Traffic Control Plan (TCP) for PN Hoffman's Union Square project between V & W Streets off 14th Street.

Commissioner Spalding stated that in conversations with Tamara Campbell, DDOT's Ward 1 coordinator for TCP's, the only concerns raised were possible scheduling conflicts with other nearby projects. Cardozo Shaw Neighborhood Association (CSNA) Vice Chairman Tim Arnold had reviewed the TCP and suggested five specific amendments to the plan, and PN Hoffman had agreed to incorporate these suggestions. Chairperson Thomas asked if the applicant could also notify Martha's Table about the TCP and its effects on the neighborhood, and applicant agreed to do so. Al Hedin and Josh Dix from PN Hoffman presented representations of the TCP, and indicated that the order of development would begin with the two nine story units on 14th St. and then the adaptive redevelopment of the two interior warehouses. The two existing buildings on 14th St. will be demolished. Mr. Dix stated that they have placed an emphasis on creating pedestrian zones away from any of the truck traffic. As suggested by CSNA pedestrian walkways are maintained on both V & W Streets. They have agreed to provide information flyers to the Children's Studio Art School, the YMCA, the existing residents along the 1300 block of V & W, and now Martha's Table. They have been coordinating with Metropolis on maintaining free flow of traffic along the 1300 block of V Street. Al Hedin spoke to the alley system inside the square, and stated that it would remain usable throughout the construction schedule. The portion of the western north/south alley across the face of the warehouse structures will be closed during portions of the work, but both east and west access alleys serving the current residents of V & W will be kept available through the construction schedule. Josh Dix mentioned that all of their fence openings would be on 14th to keep construction traffic away from interfering with normal pedestrian and traffic use on V & W. Commissioner Spalding asked about the truck queuing for the soil removal, and Mr. Hedin responded that this would all occur along 14th Street, and that the large face of the site should allow them to incorporate most of the queuing along this 14th Street frontage. Ernest Springs asked about their commitment to street cleaning, and Mr. Hedin responded that they would be hiring and assigning a cleaning crew to address the dirt, dust and mud. Commissioner Wilson asked about sourcing employment from the immediate community, and Mr. Hedin responded that they have not put anything in place yet, but that they did agree in the PUD process to give preference to local applicants. Dee Hunter requested that they extend their information outreach to the 1400 blocks of V & W, and that they also mail the information to these residents. Mr. Hedin noted that a representative of the local National Association of Minority Contractors was in attendance, and offered to sit down with Mr. Green and work towards more local and minority involvement. Clyde Howard asked that they address the issue of excessive idling with the drivers working the site, and Mr. Hedin agreed to do so. Commissioner Skinner moved approval of the TCP, and it was seconded, and Commissioner Guyot requested the addition of a friendly amendment to include the offer to meet and negotiate with the NAMC concerning employment opportunities, and it was accepted as an amendment, and the motion was adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced BZA 17218 as a disturbing, discouraging situation in which renovation to an abandoned row house had exceeded zoning regulations, and now was seeking the zoning relief for work already completed. The property is an unattached row house inside the historic district. The applicant received permits from DCRA for this renovation, and the plans were then approved by the Office of Historic Preservation. When they began the construction on the site community members noticed the zoning infractions and made repeated calls to enforcement officials to question two design elements including the additional floor and the back stairway. Commissioner Guyot stated that this is an extremely simple case, and that he has visited the site and the work done is beautiful. This developer took a crack house at 906 T Street, and on the side of it there's another crack house being redeveloped, and across the street there's another crack house being developed by two old women. Now if there's ever a situation where a person follows the direction of the agency, and has a legally binding authorization with their stamp on the plan, and then there's protest. I would hope that we can support the variance application and send a clear message that any developer who develops a crack house will not then be cracked with this kind of technicality, and Commissioner Skinner seconded this as a motion. Commissioner Spalding requested a point of personal privilege, and stated that this project is in his single member district, and he has met with the applicant, has appeared before the Board of Zoning Adjustment on this application, he has met with the Office of Planning and with the Office of Historic Preservation, and has spent time crafting a motion, and taking the specific instructions of the Chairman of the BZA into account to deal with the question of uniqueness of the property, shouldn't it be the common courtesy of the Commission to allow the single member district Commissioner to offer the motion? Commissioner Skinner stated that all that was not true, and that Commissioner Spalding should not pretend to be the lawyer for this person. Chairperson Thomas requested that Commissioner Skinner show some respect for his fellow Commissioners and allow Commissioner Spalding to finish speaking. Commissioner Spalding continued that he would offer his motion and that if the Commission chose to vote it down, then it would be appropriate for the other Commissioners to offer a motion, and that he will represent either motion before the BZA. Commissioner Spalding stated that he has been unable to find anything about the property that rises to the test of uniqueness, quite to the contrary, since it is not an inside row house the fourth floor is visible from T Street and presents troubling difficulties in an historic preservation context as well as the zoning context. Commissioner Spalding moved that ANC1B finds that BZA Application 17218 does not satisfy 11 DCMR 3103.2 as extraordinary or exceptional, and further finds that allowing relief would be detrimental to the public good and the integrity of the zone plan. ANC1B does not oppose the granting of a special exception under 223.3 addressing the lot occupancy. Motion failed to receive a second. Commissioner Guyot moved that ANC1B recognizing the uniqueness of this applicant supports the variance from number of stories and height requirements and the special exception under 223 to allow the fourth floor addition to an existing flat not meeting lot occupancy requirements under 403 in R-4 district, and all other theories of exception propounded by their attorney. Motion was seconded and adopted (7-1-1).

ABRA application for renewal of a CN nightclub license for Velvet Lounge was introduced by Commissioner Spalding. This is a nightclub that has been in the neighborhood for a long time, and has received great reviews in the local press. I put the renewal up on the local list serve looking for any community comments, and received none. I am not aware of any ABRA violations during the past two years. Owner was present, and commented that they've been in operation at this location for twelve years. She did question the listing as a CN license, and stated that they have had and were applying to renew a CT tavern license. Commissioner Skinner added that she is one of his neighbors, and that it's great to see people who not only want to do business in the neighborhood, but who also want to live in the neighborhood. Commissioner Spalding indicated that the notice from ABRA was for a nightclub license, and offered to vote on the renewal as a CT and then to speak with ABRA in the morning to straighten out the erroneous paperwork. Commissioner Spalding moved support of renewal of a CT tavern license for Velvet Lounge. Commissioner Guyot asked if we would be safer voting on both licenses and Secretary Spalding responded that it might be hard to craft such a letter of support. Commissioner Spalding amended his motion to be two separate motions, one supporting renewal of a CN license, and one supporting renewal of a CT license, and both were seconded and adopted unanimously (9-0-0).

Commissioner Guyot introduced renewal of the CN nightclub license for the 930 Club. This is the best publicized venue in the city. They have police at the sight to ensure safety. Chairperson Thomas added that they have been very generous in the Thanksgiving Basket program. Owner was not present to respond to questions. Commissioner Guyot moved support of the renewal of the CN nightclub license for 930 Club, and it was seconded and adopted (7-0-2).

Commissioner Spalding introduced renewal of a CT tavern license for Polly's Café. There was no negative response from posting the renewal on the list serve, and there are no known ABRA violations in the most recent renewal period. Owner stated that they've been in this location for twelve years as a neighborhood hangout. Commissioner Spalding moved support for the renewal of the CT tavern license for Polly's Café, and it was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced renewal of dual licenses both a CN nightclub and CT tavern license for Bohemian Cavern. This is a large corner property that encompasses multiple spaces, and the ownership does own separate licenses. There were no negative responses to queries on the list serve, and the only lingering problem has to do with trash. The owner has had an ongoing problem with others filling and leaving trash in the

vicinity of his dumpster, and has been fined on a regular basis. Scott Pomeroy stated that he is working with Mr. Afshar on the trash problem, and they are looking for a solution that can aid all of the businesses in the block. Many of these businesses are on properties that have 100% lot occupancy, and locating appropriate dumpster space has been difficult for all of the businesses. Commissioner Spalding asked if there had been any conversation with the bank about their property, and Mr. Pomeroy indicated that they were initiating talks on this problem with the bank. There are no known ABRA violations, and Commissioner Spalding moved support of renewal of both a CN nightclub and a CT tavern license for Bohemian Caverns, and it was seconded and adopted (7-0-2).

Commissioner Spalding introduced renewal of a CR license for the Prince Hall Masons. The Masons use this restaurant license for events that occur at their building, and there are no known complaints or ABRA violations. Commissioner Spalding moved support for renewal of the CR restaurant license for the Prince Hall Foundation, and it was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced renewal of a CN nightclub license for Between Friends. This license has encountered a lot of difficulties in the past two years. Commissioner Spalding stated that he had difficulty discussing this application without the ownership present, and determined that the ABRA hearing date was December 15th. Chairperson Thomas also indicated difficulty in taking this license up without ownership present. Commissioner Guyot stated that this is a group that there was a fierce protest of when it was a gay club. Jim Graham, Cardozo Shaw Neighborhood Association, and Phil Spalding joined in the challenge of their license, and they had a right to do that. The ramifications of that action are that this business has been forced to declare bankruptcy, and what we ought to say very clearly is that we should move to grant this license. People have the right to fail and people have the right to succeed, but I don't think we're in the business of driving people into the finality of the ability to compete. We've been part and parcel of all the limitations that they're facing, so I hope we can find a way to support this application. Commissioner Spalding responded that there have been ongoing problems between the applicant and the three abutting commercial tenants, and it is incumbent on the Commission to get some answers from this applicant on dealing with the loss of business and other problems facing the dry cleaners, Subway, and Seven Eleven. Commissioner Spalding stated that he would go over to Between Friends and try to get them to attend our December meeting. Commissioner Spalding moved to table, and it was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced renewal of a CN nightclub license for DC 9. This is a relatively new business in the 1900 block of 9th Street, and owner explained that because the ownership was transferred this is the normal cycle of renewal timing. This is a

popular club, and there was no negative sentiment expressed when the renewal was posted on the local list serve, but there have been some ongoing complaints from nearby residents about ongoing noise late at night. Owner stated that he is holding discussions with the residents on 9 ½ St., and they are also trying to increase the soundproofing installed in the building. Craig MacIvor agreed that the owners have been responsive to problems with their residential neighbors, and also instrumental in helping deal with nearby businesses with similar problems. Commissioner Spalding moved support for renewal of the CN nightclub license for DC 9, and it was seconded and adopted (9-0-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced application for a stipulated license towards/and new full CT tavern license for Café Collage located at 1346 T Street. Owner was not present; however, constituents both opposed and in favor of the application were present. Commissioner Guyot stated that we don't hold it against people if they're not present when applying for liquor licenses. Scott Pomeroy asked when the hearing was scheduled, and Commissioner Spalding responded that the hearing date is January 5th. Commissioner Spalding moved to table to the December meeting. Commissioner Spalding apologized to those who were present to address this application. Motion to table was seconded and adopted (8-1-0).

At the beginning of the Grant Application section of the agenda Commissioner Spalding moved that ANC1B temporarily stop grant making until the annual budget for FY '05 is approved by the Commission. Commissioner Spalding stated that he would explain the motion if it received a second and Commissioner Hammonds seconded the motion. Commissioner Spalding stated that it is incumbent on most bodies to act in a fiscally responsible way, and I'm not sure that we're doing so. Commissioner Skinner responded "Oh please", and Commissioner Guyot added that he should make his case and we'll all vote against him. Commissioner Spalding continued that ANC1B is given a stipend out of District taxpayer monies, and over this past term we have been allotted sixty thousand dollars. To date this Commission has spent seventy thousand dollars on grants alone. Putting this in perspective for the taxpayers in the audience, there are thirty-six other Commissions in the city, and reviewing the Auditor's reports for the past ten years I've found that the average spending by Commissions on grant giving is about four per cent of their stipend. We're currently in the range of 120% and with tonight's possible approvals 140% and I think it irresponsible to spend beyond what we are given in any specific term of the Commission. I think that it is limiting on the Commission that is soon to follow this one. Chairperson Thomas asked for clarity in whether he was saying that we're spending too much, or whether the incoming Commission might not know what to expect. Commissioner Spalding responded that in a two year Commission term, and we have overspent this term's stipend on grants alone. I know that we came into this term with a healthy balance... Commissioner Skinner interrupted stating that we didn't just come into... Commissioner Guyot interrupted that we should just let him finish and vote against him. Commissioner Skinner stated that he's disrespecting everything that we've

done. Chairperson Thomas told Commissioner Skinner that he was being rude, and Commissioner Skinner apologized. Commissioner Spalding stated that when compared to the spending of the other Commissions ANC1B is so far out of line at this point that it's hard to comprehend. The pattern of spending by Commissions city wide is spending approximately four per cent of their allotment on the business of grant giving.

Chairperson Thomas asked the Treasurer what we spend our money on, and Treasurer Esters confirmed that our running expenses were minimal. Chairperson Thomas stated that we're given tax payer money and we're putting it back out into the community. We don't have an office, and we don't have a telephone and we choose to spend our money on grants, and is that wrong? Commissioner Guyot stated that every grant that ANC1B has made has followed the procedures, and has been looked at by the auditor, and I don't think there's any attempt to charge us with irresponsible spending of money, and the Treasurer would have informed us if there had been. We have a public process the applicant must appear and they must present a reason for the money, and once they spend it they must come back and report to us. I don't know of any grant we've made that I didn't approve of, and that this committee didn't vote on. It is not as if any individual is individually acting capriciously. This Commission must vote in a public session on all grants, and it has done so, and I urge us to defeat this motion quickly. We're not the Republican Party, we're a Commission. Commissioner Skinner stated that he's been here a bit longer than some who might have a bit of ignorance as to how we have seventy-one thousand dollars in our budget. You look around town and you don't see people with that kind of budget. A lot of ANC Commissions use their money on rent or employees, but what we've decided to do rather than use overhead is to absorb and to take your public money. We'd rather have public non profit organizations and people in our community come before us and use this money. If anything I think the one thing that Commissioner Spalding said that might be right is that we're not like other Commissions. Go look at other Commissions and their budgets and what they've done, and then you can go outside and see what we've done over the last ten years. Now if that wasn't a good spending of time effort and money, then I don't know what is. I take offense that someone would even be so out of touch with why we have these monies, and why it's so important in this community that those dollars instead of going to some real estate person who own a building or helping their friends out, that we spend that money directly back to you. We don't even have a ceiling, which means that if we as a Commission feel is worth this amount of money, then we would in an open forum discuss it out, and then decide that we're going to support that. For that to mean that we're somehow odd or not being fiduciarly (sic) responsible to our community, it's absurd on the face of it. I guess I haven't done my job as a fellow Commissioner to explain why we have this amount of money and how hard it was to be good stewards of the people's trust. And now to say that we're not able to discern when we should spend money or not, it's just absurd. Treasurer Esters stated that one thing that this Commission needs to do is to make sure that there is adequate follow up, since we do have a problem with our grantees getting reports back to us in sixty days. To clarify a point for Commissioner Guyot the District Auditor does review our grants, but he doesn't do so until after the grant has been awarded. If we do not exercise diligence in issuing that grant, and in the event that it is disallowed by the Auditor, then we've essentially paid double for that grant. Dee Hunter asked for confirmation that the stipend was approximately sixty thousand dollars, and that with

tonight's possible grants the expenditure for grant making would be eighty-six thousand dollars, and Commissioner Spalding indicated that those figures were correct. Scott Pomeroy asked what the Commission's balance was at the start of the year, and Treasurer Esters stated that it was approximately one hundred thousand dollars at the start of FY '04. An argument ensued and Chairperson Thomas turned over the chair to Vice Chairman Skinner. Chairperson Thomas stated that she had been disrespected too many times tonight, and she had put out an effort to come out in the rain in my wheelchair. Commissioner Guyot called the previous question, and Vice Chairman Skinner called for the vote, and the motion failed (1-4-2).

Representatives of the Council of Latino Agencies presented a grant request for \$3,000.00 to support workshops addressing challenges to Latino parents and youth. A description of the difficulties that the agency was hoping to aid was presented. Vice Chairman Skinner requested that they speak directly to the grant, its amount, and the uses of the grant monies. The applicant responded that the grant would aid in providing workshops that would reach out to 150 Latino families in the ANC1B area. Jaeda Harmon stated that part of the grant would go to the organization, and part would fund purchase of a computer to compile information brought back from the workshops, and also the materials needed for the workshops.

Commissioner Butler asked if this was not part of their regular budget, and Ms. Harmon responded that they had received grant funding for the development of the program, but the funding is short of finishing and getting the materials out into the field. Commissioner Guyot stated that ANC1B gave a grant to this group, or a group very similar to them, and we got a report back on the expenditure of funds. This Commission voted to support publicly the right of non citizens to vote. This is an advocacy group, and I think it would be entirely consistent with our past behavior in those two areas, so I'm moving that we support this application, and it was seconded. Commissioner Esters thanked the applicant for providing a detailed budget. Commissioner Esters asked if the target audience would be parents with children, and Ms. Harmon responded that the modules broke into three children's age groups. Treasurer asked if the applicant could report on the expenditures within sixty days, and Ms. Harmon responded that they would be in the process of building the workshops, and would be willing to supply a future report on the distribution of the materials. Commissioner Spalding asked for clarity on the acknowledgment page of the manual citing "Auxiliary Neighborhood Committee, 1-B (Adams Morgan)", and suggested to Ms. Harmon that this be corrected to read "Advisory Neighborhood Commission 1B" and to drop the reference to Adams Morgan. Motion to support the grant application was adopted (6-1-0).

Commissioner Spalding introduced a grant application from City Arts for \$4,000.00 to purchase and install lighting to illuminate the Duke Ellington Mural on the side of this building. The artists and City Arts have gained permission of the owner of the building

next door to mount the lighting, and to provide the ongoing electricity for the lighting, and this grant is for the lighting equipment and the labor to install the lighting. Scott Pomeroy stated that he has worked with the group, and their hope was to get the lighting in place to coincide with the Wynton Marsalis premier at the Lincoln Theatre on December 10th, 11th, and 12th. Neither Byron Peck nor representative from City Arts was present, and motion was made to table, and it was seconded and adopted (7-0-0).

One Common Unity grant applicant was not present. Motion to table to the December meeting was seconded and adopted (7-0-0).

Commissioner Guyot requested that ANC1B continue funding for distribution of “Putting the Movement Back Into Civil Right Teaching” to local public schools. ANC1B previously spent \$700 to do this, and I’d like us to further that effort. This expenditure will get us another fifty copies to distribute to the schools in 1B’s territory. Commissioner Spalding reminded Commissioner Guyot that last time we went through an effort in deciding who would be responsible for the distribution and who they would be distributed to, and Commissioner Guyot responded the same schools, and that he would be responsible for doing the distribution. Treasurer Esters indicated that the reporting on the first distribution did not list the schools receiving copies, and requested that Commissioner Guyot include a list of the schools with this expenditure, and Commissioner Guyot agreed to do so. Motion to expend \$700 to purchase and distribute fifty copies of “Putting the Movement Back Into Civil Rights Teaching” was moved, seconded and adopted (6-1-0).

Commissioner Guyot introduced a grant application from the Howard University Community Association for \$3,000.00 to help in holding a symposium on Mary Church Terrell and the Mary Church Terrell House in LeDroit Park. Mary Church Terrell was a civil rights leader who lived in LeDroit Park, and ANC1B contributed towards the effort to restore the house in LeDroit Park. Alice Aughtry, Chair of the Mary church Terrell House and LeDroit Park Museum & Cultural Center, explained that her position is in helping establish a museum in the house in partnership with Howard University. The symposium is intended to raise awareness of the project. Commissioner Skinner asked about the grant amount and its use, and Ms. Aughtry responded that it was for \$3,000.00 and would supplement a grant from the DC Humanities Council, and a contribution from Sallie Mae. The monies would be spent on stipends to five community participants, the purchase of two hundred copies of the Mary Church Terrell autobiography, stipend for the humanities scholar, additional consultant fees, and the cost of advertisements and notices. Commissioner Guyot stated that the grant would go to HUCA and not individuals, and Ms. Aughtry agreed that it would. Commissioner Spalding asked for clarity on the recipient of the monies, and Commissioner Guyot explained that ANC1B

had to give the monies to an organization who could then give the monies to individuals. Commissioner Spalding asked that if we understand that the monies, even if routed through Howard University, will eventually be given as stipends to individuals are we cleared to do so. Treasurer Esters said that we would need to know what the stipend was for. We need to know more than just that they are stipends, and I'm uncomfortable with just that knowledge. Ms. Aughtry stated that five community members will be on panels, and Commissioner Skinner interjected that the stipends had to be used for a service rendered. We can't give Jo-Jo five hundred dollars because he came. Ms. Aughtry replied that, for example, the \$500 stipend for the humanities scholar is for their service in guiding the project. Treasurer Esters requested that applicant supply what each of the stipends listed would be used for. Treasurer Esters also asked Ms. Aughtry to provide more detail for both the "additional consultant fees" and "additional cost for ads and notices". Commissioner Guyot moved support, and it was seconded and adopted (5-1-1).

Commission considered requested support for a grant application being made by Mi Casa to DHCD. Secretary Spalding stated that he took the request to be on the agenda, but no one was here to present the request for a letter of support. Commissioner Guyot stated that this is a known public group. Commissioner Guyot moved ANC1B support the grant application to DHCD from Mi Casa, and it was seconded and adopted (6-0-1).

A motion to support the DC Campaign for Inclusionary Zoning was introduced by Commissioner Spalding. This was submitted by Cheryl Cort, and Commissioner Guyot stated that that gave it immediate credibility. This is a group that has garnered support from a number of organizations to support the incorporation of inclusionary zoning into District laws and regulations. Commissioner Spalding stated that he spoke with the Office of Planning, and they are researching the legal constraints surrounding the incorporation of inclusionary zoning into the regulations, and while supporting the fundamental ideas of inclusionary zoning they were testing all of the legal ramifications and expected to report in the next few months on the impact. Scott Pomeroy came to Commissioner Spalding's aid and explained that inclusionary zoning meant that affordability would be a part of all development across the city. This is a group that has organized support to call for the changes required to build inclusionary zoning into the District's regulations. Commissioner Guyot moved that ANC1B support this group vigorously, and it was seconded and adopted (6-1-0). Meeting adjourned at 9:30PM.